

PATENT APPLICATION
Attorney Docket No. SJO920020114US1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of

David F. HEPNER et al.

Serial No: 10/643,253

Filed: August 19, 2003

For: PREDICTIVE FAILURE ANALYSIS AND
FAILURE ISOLATION USING CURRENT
SENSING

Examiner: LE, John H.

Art Unit: 2863

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 24 2005

CERTIFICATE OF SUBMISSION BY FACSIMILE

PTO FAX NUMBER: 571-273-8300

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES: 11


Dear Sir:

I hereby certify that the following documents are being transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below:

1. SUPPLEMENTAL AMENDMENT (8 pages);
2. INTERVIEW SUMMARY (2 pages); and
3. this CERTIFICATE OF SUBMISSION BY FACSIMILE (1 page).

If you did not receive all the pages, please telephone us at 718-544-1110, or fax us at 718-544-8588.

Respectfully submitted,



Ido Tuchman, Reg. No. 45,924
69-60 108th Street, Suite 503
Forest Hills, NY 11375
Telephone (718) 544-1110
Facsimile (718) 544-8588

Dated: October 24, 2005

PATENT APPLICATION
Attorney Docket No. SJO920020114US1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of
David F. HEPNER et al.
Serial No: 10/643,253
Filed: August 19, 2003
For: PREDICTIVE FAILURE ANALYSIS AND
FAILURE ISOLATION USING CURRENT
SENSING

Examiner: LE, John H.

Art Unit: 2863

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 24 2005

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This Interview Summary is made in response to the telephonic interview on October 21, 2005, between Examiner John H. Le and Ido Tuchman.

The interview focused on claims 1, 4, 9, 16, 20 and 23 of the pending application and the prior art discussed was U.S. Patent No. 6,167,525 issued to Donazzi et al. No exhibits or demonstrations were presented during the interview.

During the interview, the Examiner indicated claims 1 and 4, as amended by the Amendment filed October 14, 2005, were allowable. The Examiner also indicated that claims 9, 16 and 20 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form, including any intervening claims. Furthermore, claim 23 was indicated as allowable if amended to recite limitations similar to claim 4.

Ido Tuchman agreed to submit a supplemental amendment placing claims 9, 16 and 20 in independent form and amending claim 23 to recite limitations similar to claim 4.

Respectfully submitted,



Dated: October 24, 2005

Ido Tuchman, Reg. No. 45,924
Law Office of Ido Tuchman
69-60 108th Street, Suite 503
Forest Hills, NY 11375
Telephone (718) 544-1110
Facsimile (718) 544-8588